

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,290	.02/05/2004	Chandra Mouli	M4065.0556/P556-A	3228	
24998	7590 03/31/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037			GUERRERO, MARIA F		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822		
	·		DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/771,290 ·	MOULI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Maria Guerrero	2822

		Mana Guerrero	2822	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY	Y FILED <u>16 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
this applaces a Req	eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on pplication, applicant must timely file one of the follow s the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No juest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Ci	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) 🔲 Th	ne period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date ne period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A period for reply expire $\underline{8}$	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
E> T\	xaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( WO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
have been file under 37 CFF set forth in (b	f time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date ed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the solution part of the s	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The N	lotice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte ice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	
(a)⊠	They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
· · · =	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4 □ The a	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
	cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment	(I TOL-324).
6. Newl	y proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For punch for punch for the signification of the	urposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) he new or amended claims would be rejected is protatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: (s) allowed: none. (s) objected to: none. (s) rejected: 98-126.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.			
8. 🔲 The at becau	OR OTHER EVIDENCE  ffidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu use applicant failed to provide a showing of good an uot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🔲 The at entere	ffidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ed because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ong a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
	request for reconsideration has been considered bu Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
			MARIA F. PRIMARY	GUERRERO EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment would require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the isolation gate preventing charge from moving form one pixel to another, forming an isolation gate which is used to inhibit electron flow) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).